



## Administrative Summary Report

Log No: 2020-0004225

This ASR provides crucial information to reporting parties, accused members and accused members' supervisors. The information contained herein is intended to display Department member's accountability and foster the relationship with members of the public by ensuring legitimacy and community confidence. The following are definitions of recommended findings:

- **Sustained** - where it is determined that the allegation is supported by the evidence;
- **Not Sustained** - where it is determined that there is insufficient evidence to prove the allegations;
- **Unfounded** - where it is determined by that an allegation is false or not factual; or
- **Exonerated** - where it is determined that the conduct described in the allegation occurred, but it is lawful and proper.

### INCIDENT DESCRIPTION

Civilian Complaint: Complainant alleges accused officers have been unprofessional, and have acted in a retaliating manner, since he filed a complaint with COPA in 2019 (#1091011). Complainant alleges accused officers have hassled him about his business license. When he questioned the accused officers, they stated, "we run this neighborhood," in a threatening manner.

**LOCATION OF OCCURRENCE:** Business, 59 [REDACTED] W MADISON ST , District 015

**DATE & TIME OF INCIDENT:** Unknown Date

### NARRATIVE SUMMARY:

The Investigator gathered available evidence as listed. Based on their respective findings, this log number investigation has been concluded with the recommendations listed. Evidence was evaluated and considered by the investigator to reach their findings.

- A sworn affidavit was obtained.
- Body Worn Camera video was available and reviewed.
- PCAD records, which document a unit's 911 dispatch history, were identified and reviewed.
- Various CPD reports or other documents related to this incident were available and analyzed.
- Witness(es) were identified and interviewed.
- The Complainant or Reporting Party cooperated in the investigation and provided information.
- The accused party or parties were interviewed.

\*(Listed above are items for which the investigator has indicated as having been obtained and relevant to the investigation. This list is not inclusive of all items. For item list, please see Placeholder narrative text box below for more information.)

### INVESTIGATIVE CONCLUSION:

Report executed

4/28/21

ASR

Page 1 of 2



## Administrative Summary Report

Log No: 2020-0004225

**Accused:** JORGE MUNOZ  
**Rank:** POLICE OFFICER  
**Star Number:** 13469

**RECOMMENDED PENALTY:** 600 - NO ACTION TAKEN / NOT SUSTAINED / EXONERATED / UNFOUNDED

### Allegations

#### 1 - Unfounded - Operation/Personnel Violations/Conduct Unbecoming

**Allegation:** IT IS ALLEGED BY [REDACTED] THAT POLICE OFFICER JORGE MUNOZ #13469 ON 07 AUGUST 2020 AT 1900 HOURS AT 59 [REDACTED] WEST MADISON IN THE CITY OF CHICAGO WAS RUDE AND UNPROFESSIONAL DURING A CONVERSATION IN FRONT OF HIS BUSINESS.

#### 2 - Unfounded - Conduct Unbecoming Violations/Harassment/Allegations of Bias

**Allegation:** IT IS ALLEGED BY [REDACTED] THAT POLICE OFFICER JORGE MUNOZ #13469 ON OR AFTER 07 AUGUST 2020 AT AN UNKNOWN TIME AND PLACE TELEPHONED THE LANDLORD OF COMPLAINANT [REDACTED] AND THREATENED TO HAVE ADAMS EVICTED FROM HIS BUILDING.

Report executed

4/28/21

ASR

Page 2 of 2