



## Administrative Summary Report

Log No: 2020-0003194

This ASR provides crucial information to reporting parties, accused members and accused members' supervisors. The information contained herein is intended to display Department member's accountability and foster the relationship with members of the public by ensuring legitimacy and community confidence. The following are definitions of recommended findings:

- Sustained - where it is determined that the allegation is supported by the evidence;
- Not Sustained - where it is determined that there is insufficient evidence to prove the allegations;
- Unfounded - where it is determined by that an allegation is false or not factual; or
- Exonerated - where it is determined that the conduct described in the allegation occurred, but it is lawful and proper.

### INCIDENT DESCRIPTION

Civilian Complaint: Complainant stated that his ex-wife, [REDACTED] pulled their children out of the car aggressively. Complainant stated that the son had a big bruise on his arm. The complainant called for police assistance and the police did not properly investigate the situation. Complainant stated the accused officers put the blame on his 9 year old son, instead of the ex-wife.

**LOCATION OF OCCURRENCE:** Intersection, 47 [REDACTED] S ARCHER AVE , District 008

**DATE & TIME OF INCIDENT:** 21 Jun 2020, 18:11

### NARRATIVE SUMMARY:

The Investigator gathered available evidence as listed. Based on their respective findings, this log number investigation has been concluded with the recommendations listed. Evidence was evaluated and considered by the investigator to reach their findings.

- A sworn affidavit was obtained.
- Body Worn Camera video was available and reviewed.
- PCAD records, which document a unit's 911 dispatch history, were identified and reviewed.
- Other digital evidence not produced by CPD (e.g. private video), was gathered and used during the investigation.
- Various CPD reports or other documents related to this incident were available and analyzed.
- Witness(es) were identified and interviewed.
- The Complainant or Reporting Party cooperated in the investigation and provided information.
- The accused party or parties were interviewed.
- Other evidence relevant to this particular investigation was used to reach the findings for one or more of the allegations made.

\*(Listed above are items for which the investigator has indicated as having been obtained and relevant to the investigation. This list is not inclusive of all items. For item list, please see Placeholder narrative text box below for more information.)

### INVESTIGATIVE CONCLUSION:



## Administrative Summary Report

Log No: 2020-0003194

**Accused:** TOMASZ SAS  
**Rank:** POLICE OFFICER  
**Star Number:** 16882

**RECOMMENDED PENALTY:** 600 - NO ACTION TAKEN / NOT SUSTAINED / EXONERATED / UNFOUNDED

### Allegations

#### 1 - Unfounded - Operation/Personnel Violations

**Allegation:** Complainant stated that his ex-wife, [REDACTED] pulled their children out of the car aggressively. Complainant stated that the son had a big bruise on his arm. The complainant called for police assistance and the police did not properly investigate the situation. Complainant stated the accused officers put the blame on his 9 year old son, instead of the ex-wife.

**Accused:** BYUNG LIM  
**Rank:** POLICE OFFICER  
**Star Number:** 18934

**RECOMMENDED PENALTY:** 600 - NO ACTION TAKEN / NOT SUSTAINED / EXONERATED / UNFOUNDED

### Allegations

#### 1 - Unfounded - Operation/Personnel Violations

**Allegation:** Complainant stated that his ex-wife, [REDACTED] pulled their children out of the car aggressively. Complainant stated that the son had a big bruise on his arm. The complainant called for police assistance and the police did not properly investigate the situation. Complainant stated the accused officers put the blame on his 9 year old son, instead of the ex-wife.