



Administrative Summary Report

Log No: 2020-0002125

This ASR provides crucial information to reporting parties, accused members and accused members' supervisors. The information contained herein is intended to display Department member's accountability and foster the relationship with members of the public by ensuring legitimacy and community confidence. The following are definitions of recommended findings:

- **Sustained** - where it is determined that the allegation is supported by the evidence;
- **Not Sustained** - where it is determined that there is insufficient evidence to prove the allegations;
- **Unfounded** - where it is determined by that an allegation is false or not factual; or
- **Exonerated** - where it is determined that the conduct described in the allegation occurred, but it is lawful and proper.

INCIDENT DESCRIPTION

Civilian Complaint: Complainant stated her and her son have an order of protection against his ex-girlfriend. Complainant stated that the ex-girlfriend came into the home and destroyed some items. The complainant's son went to the neighbor's house to call the police. When the officers arrived, the ex-girlfriend approached the officers. The accused officers allowed the ex-girlfriend to go into the house to retrieve her items. Complainant stated that she explained to the accused officers that she does not live here and there is an order of protection against the ex-girlfriend. The accused officers still allowed the ex-girlfriend to go into the house even after the complainant explained the situation.

LOCATION OF OCCURRENCE: Residence, 51 [REDACTED] W HIRSCH ST , District 025

DATE & TIME OF INCIDENT: 28 May 2020, 03:00

NARRATIVE SUMMARY:

The Investigator gathered available evidence as listed. Based on their respective findings, this log number investigation has been concluded with the recommendations listed. Evidence was evaluated and considered by the investigator to reach their findings.

- A sworn affidavit was obtained.
- Body Worn Camera video was available and reviewed.
- Various CPD reports or other documents related to this incident were available and analyzed.
- Witness(es) were identified and interviewed.
- The Complainant or Reporting Party cooperated in the investigation and provided information.
- The accused party or parties were interviewed.
- Other evidence relevant to this particular investigation was used to reach the findings for one or more of the allegations made.

*(Listed above are items for which the investigator has indicated as having been obtained and relevant to the investigation. This list is not inclusive of all items. For item list, please see Placeholder narrative text box below for more information.)

INVESTIGATIVE CONCLUSION:



Administrative Summary Report

Log No: 2020-0002125

Accused: ADAM NOTA
Rank: POLICE OFFICER
Star Number: 2803

RECOMMENDED PENALTY: 600 - NO ACTION TAKEN / NOT SUSTAINED / EXONERATED / UNFOUNDED

Allegations

1 - Unfounded - Operation/Personnel Violations

Allegation: On 28 May 2020 at 0300 hours complainant [REDACTED] states that accused Officer Adam NOTA #2803 allowed [REDACTED] to go inside the residence at 51 [REDACTED] W Hirsch St and take property which is a protected address on a valid order of protection 19OP75575

Accused: JAMES RICHARDSJR
Rank: POLICE OFFICER
Star Number: 10153

RECOMMENDED PENALTY: 600 - NO ACTION TAKEN / NOT SUSTAINED / EXONERATED / UNFOUNDED

Allegations

1 - Unfounded - Operation/Personnel Violations

Allegation: On 28 May 2020 at 0300 hours complainant [REDACTED] states that accused Officer James RICHARDS Jr. allowed [REDACTED] to go inside the residence at 51 [REDACTED] W Hirsch St and take property which is a protected address on a valid order of protection 19OP75575