



## Administrative Summary Report

Log No: 2020-0001035

This ASR provides crucial information to reporting parties, accused members and accused members' supervisors. The information contained herein is intended to display Department member's accountability and foster the relationship with members of the public by ensuring legitimacy and community confidence. The following are definitions of recommended findings:

- Sustained - where it is determined that the allegation is supported by the evidence;
- Not Sustained - where it is determined that there is insufficient evidence to prove the allegations;
- Unfounded - where it is determined by that an allegation is false or not factual; or
- Exonerated - where it is determined that the conduct described in the allegation occurred, but it is lawful and proper.

### INCIDENT DESCRIPTION

The complainant [REDACTED] alleges that accused member's did not affect an arrest when asked to do so.

**LOCATION OF OCCURRENCE:** Residence, 48 [REDACTED] W ROSCOE ST , District 016

**DATE & TIME OF INCIDENT:** 29 Feb 2020, 05:12

### NARRATIVE SUMMARY:

The Investigator gathered available evidence as listed. Based on their respective findings, this log number investigation has been concluded with the recommendations listed. Evidence was evaluated and considered by the investigator to reach their findings.

- A sworn affidavit was obtained.
- Body Worn Camera video was available and reviewed.
- PCAD records, which document a unit's 911 dispatch history, were identified and reviewed.
- Various CPD reports or other documents related to this incident were available and analyzed.
- Witness(es) were identified and interviewed.
- The Complainant or Reporting Party cooperated in the investigation and provided information.
- The accused party or parties were interviewed.

\*(Listed above are items for which the investigator has indicated as having been obtained and relevant to the investigation. This list is not inclusive of all items. For item list, please see Placeholder narrative text box below for more information.)

### INVESTIGATIVE CONCLUSION:



## Administrative Summary Report

Log No: 2020-0001035

**Accused:** ADAM HICIDEMANN  
**Rank:** POLICE OFFICER  
**Star Number:** 3062

**RECOMMENDED PENALTY:** 600 - NO ACTION TAKEN / NOT SUSTAINED / EXONERATED / UNFOUNDED

### Allegations

#### 1 - Unfounded - Operation/Personnel Violations/Reports/Improper

**Allegation:** Complainant [REDACTED] alleges that on 29FEB20 at the location of 48 [REDACTED] W. Roscoe at approximately 0540 hours, above accused member failed to properly document an allegation by stating that complainant [REDACTED] stated he did not wish to have the offender [REDACTED] arrested. Complainant [REDACTED] original allegation was that the accused officer did not affect an arrest when asked to do so, but amended this allegation prior to signing a sworn affidavit.

**Accused:** LUKASZ GALAZKA  
**Rank:** POLICE OFFICER  
**Star Number:** 9273

**RECOMMENDED PENALTY:** 600 - NO ACTION TAKEN / NOT SUSTAINED / EXONERATED / UNFOUNDED

### Allegations

#### 1 - Unfounded - Operation/Personnel Violations/Reports/Improper

**Allegation:** Complainant [REDACTED] alleges that on 29FEB20 at the location of 48 [REDACTED] W. Roscoe at approximately 0540 hours, above accused member failed to properly document an allegation by stating that complainant [REDACTED] stated he did not wish to have the offender [REDACTED] arrested. Complainant [REDACTED] original allegation was that the accused officer did not affect an arrest when asked to do so, but amended this allegation prior to signing a sworn affidavit.