



## Administrative Summary Report

Log No: 2020-0000276

This ASR provides crucial information to reporting parties, accused members and accused members' supervisors. The information contained herein is intended to display Department member's accountability and foster the relationship with members of the public by ensuring legitimacy and community confidence. The following are definitions of recommended findings:

- Sustained - where it is determined that the allegation is supported by the evidence;
- Not Sustained - where it is determined that there is insufficient evidence to prove the allegations;
- Unfounded - where it is determined by that an allegation is false or not factual; or
- Exonerated - where it is determined that the conduct described in the allegation occurred, but it is lawful and proper.

### INCIDENT DESCRIPTION

In summary R/Sgt. responded to a Request for Police Supervisor at 14 [REDACTED] W. Argyle, [REDACTED]. Upon arrival R/Sgt. came into contact with the Complainant [REDACTED] who related via Spanish translator (P.O. Frank Proano #9315) that he was approached by Eight males claiming to be Chicago Police Officers at 12 [REDACTED] W. Carmen. The complainant related that the Officers spoke to him in Spanish and stated, "We are from Narcotics and we know that you are dirty." The complainant then stated that the Officers asked him for the keys to his vehicle and had him sign a consent to search form in order to search the vehicle. The Complainant then related that the Officers then asked him where he lived and four of the Officers went to his home address at 14 [REDACTED] W. Argyle [REDACTED] West while the other four Officers stayed with the Complainant. After a short time the Complainant was taken to his home address (14 [REDACTED] W. Argyle # [REDACTED]) and was told to remove his dog from the apartment. The complainant was then told to sit at the kitchen table and to not get up. One of the Officer's (described as a M/4/35-40, 5'06" 180lbs, bald, wearing a black bulletproof vest) went into the back bedroom of the apartment and called for the assistance of another Officer (described as M/4/45, 5'05" 200lbs, wearing a baseball hat, and black bulletproof vest) who also relocated to the back bedroom. The Officers searched the back bedroom and returned to the complainant with a suitcase containing U.S.C. and placed the U.S.C. in a plastic bag. The Complainant related that they made him sign a form CPD-41.551 and gave him a Currency Inventory Bag Receipt # L008677 and left the apartment. The Complainant related that he then checked the back bedroom and saw that his 14K Gold Necklace with a gold Lion head charm (value: \$5000) and a 14K Gold bracelet (value: \$1800) were missing from a plastic drawer. At that time the Complainant related that he believed he may have been the victim of a scam and that the men that came to his apartment may not have been Police Officers. The Complainant then called 911 and requested a Police Supervisor. R/Sgt. checked the PCAD and discovered that Beat 6231 went down on a consent to search at 1442hrs at 14 [REDACTED] W. Argyle under RD # JD-117912. When R/Sgt. informed the complainant that the men that came to his apartment were in fact Police Officers the Complainant related that he was unsure if the jewelry described was actually missing or if it had been moved to another location during the search. R/Sgt. told the Complainant to check his bedroom again at which time the Complainant related that the jewelry was in fact missing. The Complainant also related that the Officers removed \$30,000 U.S.C. from the apartment during the search. When asked where he obtained the Money the Complainant related he obtained the money from "work" and he was able to save money because he does not pay rent. Complainant later related that the amount of U.S.C. taken was actually closer to \$45,000 U.S.C. and consisted of denominations ranging from \$100 to \$1. The complainant related that he did sign a Consent to Search Form but he assumed it was only for a search of his car, not a search of his apartment. The Complainant was in possession of a Pink Copy (Spanish Version) of CPD Form # 41.551 which was signed by the Complainant as well as Currency Inventory Bag # L008677. The Officers listed on CPD-41.551 were P.O. Gonzalez and P.O. Chiachilla but R/Sgt. was unable to read the Star numbers on the form. R/Sgt. attempted to take a photograph of the items with a Department Issued phone but was unable to do so due to poor picture quality. Complainant was advised to save the documents for the follow-up investigator. The Complainant was unable to provide detailed descriptions of the other Officers on scene. He first stated that all the involved Officers were Male Hispanics. He later related that two of the other Officers were Male Caucasians and the rest were male Hispanics. All Officers involved were wearing civilian dress including black bulletproof vests according to the Complainant. The Complainant was unable to provide the names or star numbers of the involved Officers.



# Administrative Summary Report

Log No: 2020-0000276

**LOCATION OF OCCURRENCE:** Residence, 14 [REDACTED] W ARGYLE ST , District 020

**DATE & TIME OF INCIDENT:** 16 Jan 2020, 14:42

### NARRATIVE SUMMARY:

The Investigator gathered available evidence as listed. Based on their respective findings, this log number investigation has been concluded with the recommendations listed. Evidence was evaluated and considered by the investigator to reach their findings.

- PCAD records, which document a unit's 911 dispatch history, were identified and reviewed.
- Various CPD reports or other documents related to this incident were available and analyzed.

\*(Listed above are items for which the investigator has indicated as having been obtained and relevant to the investigation. This list is not inclusive of all items. For item list, please see Placeholder narrative text box below for more information.)

### INVESTIGATIVE CONCLUSION:



**Accused:** Unknown Unknown  
**Rank:**  
**Star Number:**

**RECOMMENDED PENALTY:** 600 - NO ACTION TAKEN / NOT SUSTAINED / EXONERATED / UNFOUNDED

### Allegations

#### 1 - Unfounded - Conduct Unbecoming Violations

**Allegation:** The Reporting Complainant [REDACTED] alleges that officers went to his back bedroom and took a 14k Gold Necklace with a gold lion head (value \$5,000.00), a 14k Gold bracelet (value \$1,800.00). The Complainant further alleges that \$45,000.00 USC was removed from his apartment.





## Administrative Summary Report

Log No: 2020-0000276

**Accused:** Unknown Unknown  
**Rank:**  
**Star Number:**

**RECOMMENDED PENALTY:** 600 - NO ACTION TAKEN / NOT SUSTAINED / EXONERATED / UNFOUNDED

### Allegations

#### 1 - Unfounded - Conduct Unbecoming Violations

**Allegation:** The Reporting Complainant [REDACTED] alleges that officers went to his back bedroom and took a 14k Gold Necklace with a gold lion head (value \$5,000.00), a 14k Gold bracelet (value \$1,800.00). The Complainant further alleges that \$45,000.00 USC was removed from his apartment.

**Accused:** Unknown Unknown  
**Rank:**  
**Star Number:**

**RECOMMENDED PENALTY:** 600 - NO ACTION TAKEN / NOT SUSTAINED / EXONERATED / UNFOUNDED

### Allegations

#### 1 - Unfounded - Conduct Unbecoming Violations

**Allegation:** The Reporting Complainant [REDACTED] alleges that officers went to his back bedroom and took a 14k Gold Necklace with a gold lion head (value \$5,000.00), a 14k Gold bracelet (value \$1,800.00). The Complainant further alleges that \$45,000.00 USC was removed from his apartment.

**Accused:** Unknown Unknown  
**Rank:**  
**Star Number:**

**RECOMMENDED PENALTY:** 600 - NO ACTION TAKEN / NOT SUSTAINED / EXONERATED / UNFOUNDED

### Allegations

#### 1 - Unfounded - Conduct Unbecoming Violations

**Allegation:** The Reporting Complainant [REDACTED] alleges that officers went to his back bedroom and took a 14k Gold Necklace with a gold lion head (value \$5,000.00), a 14k Gold bracelet (value \$1,800.00). The Complainant further alleges that \$45,000.00 USC was removed from his apartment.



## Administrative Summary Report

Log No: 2020-0000276

**Accused:** Unknown Unknown  
**Rank:**  
**Star Number:**

**RECOMMENDED PENALTY:** 600 - NO ACTION TAKEN / NOT SUSTAINED / EXONERATED / UNFOUNDED

### Allegations

#### 1 - Unfounded - null

**Allegation:** The Reporting Complainant [REDACTED] alleges that officers went to his back bedroom and took a 14k Gold Necklace with a gold lion head (value \$5,000.00), a 14k Gold bracelet (value \$1,800.00). The Complainant further alleges that \$45,000.00 USC was removed from his apartment.

**Accused:** Unknown Unknown  
**Rank:**  
**Star Number:**

**RECOMMENDED PENALTY:** 600 - NO ACTION TAKEN / NOT SUSTAINED / EXONERATED / UNFOUNDED

### Allegations

#### 1 - Unfounded - null

**Allegation:** The Reporting Complainant [REDACTED] alleges that officers went to his back bedroom and took a 14k Gold Necklace with a gold lion head (value \$5,000.00), a 14k Gold bracelet (value \$1,800.00). The Complainant further alleges that \$45,000.00 USC was removed from his apartment.

**Accused:** Unknown Unknown  
**Rank:**  
**Star Number:**

**RECOMMENDED PENALTY:** 600 - NO ACTION TAKEN / NOT SUSTAINED / EXONERATED / UNFOUNDED

### Allegations

#### 1 - Unfounded - Conduct Unbecoming Violations

**Allegation:** The Reporting Complainant [REDACTED] alleges that officers went to his back bedroom and took a 14k Gold Necklace with a gold lion head (value \$5,000.00), a 14k Gold bracelet (value \$1,800.00). The Complainant further alleges that \$45,000.00 USC was removed from his apartment.



## Administrative Summary Report

Log No: 2020-0000276

**Accused:** Unknown Unknown  
**Rank:**  
**Star Number:**

**RECOMMENDED PENALTY:** 600 - NO ACTION TAKEN / NOT SUSTAINED / EXONERATED / UNFOUNDED

### Allegations

#### 1 - Unfounded - Conduct Unbecoming Violations

**Allegation:** The Reporting Complainant [REDACTED] alleges that officers went to his back bedroom and took a 14k Gold Necklace with a gold lion head (value \$5,000.00), a 14k Gold bracelet (value \$1,800.00). The Complainant further alleges that \$45,000.00 USC was removed from his apartment.