



## Administrative Summary Report

Log No: 2020-0000040

This ASR provides crucial information to reporting parties, accused members and accused members' supervisors. The information contained herein is intended to display Department member's accountability and foster the relationship with members of the public by ensuring legitimacy and community confidence. The following are definitions of recommended findings:

- Sustained - where it is determined that the allegation is supported by the evidence;
- Not Sustained - where it is determined that there is insufficient evidence to prove the allegations;
- Unfounded - where it is determined by that an allegation is false or not factual; or
- Exonerated - where it is determined that the conduct described in the allegation occurred, but it is lawful and proper.

### INCIDENT DESCRIPTION

Complainant stated that he was assaulted on the C.T.A. Complainant alleges that the accused detective/Sgt. assigned his case is not handling it properly and is not cooperating with him. Complainant believes the detective is advocating for the people that assaulted him.

**LOCATION OF OCCURRENCE:** 24 [REDACTED] W BELMONT AVE , District 019

**DATE & TIME OF INCIDENT:** 30 Dec 2019, 13:00

### NARRATIVE SUMMARY:

The Investigator gathered available evidence as listed. Based on their respective findings, this log number investigation has been concluded with the recommendations listed. Evidence was evaluated and considered by the investigator to reach their findings.

- A sworn affidavit was obtained.
- Body Worn Camera video was available and reviewed.
- Other digital evidence not produced by CPD (e.g. private video), was gathered and used during the investigation.
- Various CPD reports or other documents related to this incident were available and analyzed.
- The Complainant or Reporting Party cooperated in the investigation and provided information.
- The accused party or parties were interviewed.
- Other evidence relevant to this particular investigation was used to reach the findings for one or more of the allegations made.

\*(Listed above are items for which the investigator has indicated as having been obtained and relevant to the investigation. This list is not inclusive of all items. For item list, please see Placeholder narrative text box below for more information.)

### INVESTIGATIVE CONCLUSION:



## Administrative Summary Report

Log No: 2020-0000040

**Accused:** JEROME WARNERJR  
**Rank:** PO AS DETECTIVE  
**Star Number:** 20002

**RECOMMENDED PENALTY:** 600 - NO ACTION TAKEN / NOT SUSTAINED / EXONERATED / UNFOUNDED

### Allegations

#### 1 - Unfounded - Operation/Personnel Violations/Inadequate/Failure to Provide Service

**Allegation:** It is alleged by the complainant [REDACTED] that between 01 December 2019 and 30 December 2019, the accused, Detective Jerome Warner #20002 failed to provide adequate police service in that he failed to properly investigate an Aggravated Battery case reported under RD# JC527711, where the complainant was the victim. The complainant alleges that the accused was rude, disinterested and questioned his credibility. The complainant states the while reviewing surveillance video from the CTA bus where the incident occurred, the accused kept interrupting him and rushed him.

**Accused:** CARRIE BYRNE  
**Rank:** SERGEANT OF POLICE  
**Star Number:** 2133

**RECOMMENDED PENALTY:** 600 - NO ACTION TAKEN / NOT SUSTAINED / EXONERATED / UNFOUNDED

### Allegations

#### 1 - Unfounded - Supervisory Responsibilities/Properly Direct Subordinate

**Allegation:** It is alleged by the complainant [REDACTED] that on 30 December 2019, the accused, Sergeant Carrie Byrne #2133 was present during an interview of him by the also accused, Detective Jerome Werner #20002 and that other than identifying yourself you did nothing to stop the accused from being rude and or to stop the accused from interrupting him. The complainant stated that you appeared to be in agreement with the also accused Detective's assertion that the complainant lacked credibility when telling his version of what had occurred. The complainant states the while reviewing surveillance video from the CTA bus where the incident occurred, the accused Sergeant and the also accused Detective kept interrupting him and rushed him.