



Administrative Summary Report

Log No: 2019-0004764

This ASR provides crucial information to reporting parties, accused members and accused members' supervisors. The information contained herein is intended to display Department member's accountability and foster the relationship with members of the public by ensuring legitimacy and community confidence. The following are definitions of recommended findings:

- **Sustained** - where it is determined that the allegation is supported by the evidence;
- **Not Sustained** - where it is determined that there is insufficient evidence to prove the allegations;
- **Unfounded** - where it is determined by that an allegation is false or not factual; or
- **Exonerated** - where it is determined that the conduct described in the allegation occurred, but it is lawful and proper.

INCIDENT DESCRIPTION

The Reporting Party alleges that the accused officer failed to conduct a thorough investigation in that he did not fully disclose a related video that would have proven his innocence. The Reporting Party stated that he was charged with aggravated battery for which he denies and the video would have showed his attackers assaulted him and the reporting party was defending himself.

LOCATION OF OCCURRENCE: Residence, 31 [REDACTED] W FLOURNOY ST , District 011

DATE & TIME OF INCIDENT: 18 Jun 2019, 16:00

NARRATIVE SUMMARY:

The Investigator gathered available evidence as listed. Based on their respective findings, this log number investigation has been concluded with the recommendations listed. Evidence was evaluated and considered by the investigator to reach their findings.

- A sworn affidavit was obtained.
- Police Observational Device (POD) video was available and reviewed.
- Other digital evidence not produced by CPD (e.g. private video), was gathered and used during the investigation.
- Various CPD reports or other documents related to this incident were available and analyzed.
- Witness(es) were identified and interviewed.
- The Complainant or Reporting Party cooperated in the investigation and provided information.
- The accused party or parties were interviewed.

*(Listed above are items for which the investigator has indicated as having been obtained and relevant to the investigation. This list is not inclusive of all items. For item list, please see Placeholder narrative text box below for more information.)

INVESTIGATIVE CONCLUSION:



Administrative Summary Report

Log No: 2019-0004764

Accused: ERIC REYES
Rank: PO AS DETECTIVE
Star Number: 21224

RECOMMENDED PENALTY: 600 - NO ACTION TAKEN / NOT SUSTAINED / EXONERATED / UNFOUNDED

Allegations

1 - Not Sustained - Operation/Personnel Violations/Inadequate/Failure to Provide Service

Allegation: It is alleged by the complainant [REDACTED] that the video provided to his attorney in discovery is incomplete. The complainant alleges that while he was in custody for the shooting the complainant informed the investigating detectives that the complainant believed that video existed which captured this entire incident. The complainant stated that he knew video was available because he knew that "Nick" his neighbor, had previously installed cameras that should have captured this incident. The complainant believes that the investigating detectives recovered video because while in-custody for this incident the "latino" detective asked the complainant "why did you chase him" which the complainant believes was in reference to video of this incident. The complainant alleges that this video omission was done so purposely by the investigating detectives.