



Administrative Summary Report

Log No: 2019-0003252

This ASR provides crucial information to reporting parties, accused members and accused members' supervisors. The information contained herein is intended to display Department member's accountability and foster the relationship with members of the public by ensuring legitimacy and community confidence. The following are definitions of recommended findings:

- Sustained - where it is determined that the allegation is supported by the evidence;
- Not Sustained - where it is determined that there is insufficient evidence to prove the allegations;
- Unfounded - where it is determined by that an allegation is false or not factual; or
- Exonerated - where it is determined that the conduct described in the allegation occurred, but it is lawful and proper.

INCIDENT DESCRIPTION

On March 15, 2019 I call 911 to report that [REDACTED] was violating an emergency order of protection (case No. 19OP71685) that I [REDACTED] had filed against her. My father in law [REDACTED] had called in as well because he also had an emergency order of protection against [REDACTED]. Two police arrived and interviewed me and my Father in law. We both explained that we had separate Emergency Oder of Protections and both needed to be served. One of the officers took notes and informed us that this interview was being recorded. The officer read my [REDACTED] Order and then [REDACTED] Order, both with different case numbers and reiterated our incidents with [REDACTED] and that we both had different and separate Orders of Protection. He stated that he had to call a Sergeant to come and serve the Orders to [REDACTED] and he did, and the Sergeant came, and the officer again reiterated the reason for his call to the scene. The officers both stated that there were two Orders of protections to be served on [REDACTED] which they had on the scene. The sergeant had [REDACTED] get into his squad car and proceeded to serve both Orders of Protections. Once the Sergeant appeared to be finished as we were all downstairs now out of the apartment and around the Sergeants car. The Sergeant gave both the orders of Protections to the responding officer and he addressed both I and my father in law [REDACTED]. The officer stated that both orders had been successfully served and allowed [REDACTED] to get all of her things from the apartment and provided both myself [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] with police reports with the incident labeled as Violation of Order of Protection code 4387 RD# JC187064. The officer informed us that [REDACTED] is aware of the court date for the two orders and to present to the Judge the reports and that the Lead System has been updated to show that [REDACTED] was served both orders of protections. Our court dates were for the same date and time and rooms though different cases. I [REDACTED] was called first, and [REDACTED] did not show however the Jude and court advocate informed me that [REDACTED] had never been served. All parties found it disturbing that in the police report JC187064 it stated that [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] Orders where both served and in their internal system the same but in the LEADS system that the Judge must go by only [REDACTED] Order showed as being served. My order was extended until 4/23/19 and I was instructed to return to the precinct and the serving officers to have them correct the error and return back so the Judge could proceed. I arrived to the 8th district Police Department 34 [REDACTED] W 63rd St, Chicago, IL 60629. The front desk officers listen to me and looked up the short form associated with [REDACTED] and state again to me no she was served I stood before the officer and called the court house and connected the two parties by giving the court advocate his direct number and they found an error on Sergeant Piwnicki part because he only completed on Short Form. The court advocate for 5 [REDACTED] W. Harrison St, Chicago, IL 60607 [REDACTED] and myself [REDACTED] where told that only the Sergeant Piwnicki could correct this clear error. The instructions where to come back after 5 pm and he would be able to enter the missing Short Form. I returned at 5:37 pm and spoke directly to Sergeant Piwnicki and even with the preponderance of evidence he blamed me and said I just had to start over the next time I come in contact with [REDACTED] who tried to burn my apartment down, threatened me and solicited gang members to execute me for \$500. I explained that from the courts to the department over LEADS and even the officer who earlier that day research all the inputted data surrounding the date could see that everything stated that [REDACTED] was serve two Orders and one being me. The only thing mis

Was it in a School?: No

Was it in a Police Facility?: No

Wa



Administrative Summary Report

Log No: 2019-0003252

LOCATION OF OCCURRENCE: Residence, 66 ■ S ARTESIAN AVE , District 008

DATE & TIME OF INCIDENT: 15 Mar 2019, 08:25

NARRATIVE SUMMARY:

The Investigator gathered available evidence as listed. Based on their respective findings, this log number investigation has been concluded with the recommendations listed. Evidence was evaluated and considered by the investigator to reach their findings.

- A sworn affidavit was obtained.
- Various CPD reports or other documents related to this incident were available and analyzed.
- Witness(es) were identified and interviewed.
- The Complainant or Reporting Party cooperated in the investigation and provided information.
- The accused party or parties were interviewed.
- Other evidence relevant to this particular investigation was used to reach the findings for one or more of the allegations made.
 - The accused member's complimentary and disciplinary history were taken into consideration when determining the appropriate discipline for sustained allegations.

*(Listed above are items for which the investigator has indicated as having been obtained and relevant to the investigation. This list is not inclusive of all items. For item list, please see Placeholder narrative text box below for more information.)

INVESTIGATIVE CONCLUSION:

Based on the statements of the involved parties and the gathered evidence in this log investigation, the undersigned finds that the following allegation(s) are SUSTAINED. Based on the SUSTAINED finding(s) and after taking into consideration the accused member's complimentary and disciplinary histories, the undersigned recommends that the following action be taken:

Accused: RAYMOND PIWNICKI
Rank: SERGEANT OF POLICE
Star Number: 1056

RECOMMENDED PENALTY: 100 - REPRIMAND

Allegations



Administrative Summary Report

Log No: 2019-0003252

1 - Sustained - Operation/Personnel Violations/Inadequate/Failure to Provide Service

Allegation: It is alleged that on 15 March 2019 at 2033 hrs., at 66 [REDACTED] S. Artesian Ave. #2 Chicago IL, the Accused Sgt. Raymond PIWNICKI Star #1056, Employee No. [REDACTED] Unit 008 failed to serve [REDACTED] with the Short Form notice that an Order of Protection for petitioner Reporting Party Victim (RPV) [REDACTED] was filed against [REDACTED]

Rule Violation: 6 - DISOBEDIENCE OF AN ORDER/DIRECTIVE, WHETHER WRITTEN OR ORAL - It has been determined that on 15 March 2019 at 66 [REDACTED] S. Artesian Ave #2 Chicago IL the Accused Sgt. Raymond PIWNICKI Star #1056, Employee No. [REDACTED] Unit 008 failed to serve [REDACTED] with the Short Form notice that an Order of Protection for petitioner Reporting Party Victim (RPV) [REDACTED] was filed against [REDACTED] [1 count(s)]

2 - Not Sustained - Operation/Personnel Violations/Inadequate/Failure to Provide Service

Allegation: It is alleged that on 15 March 2019 at 2033 hrs., at 66 [REDACTED] S. Artesian Ave. #2 Chicago IL the Accused Sgt. Raymond PIWNICKI Star #1056, Employee No. [REDACTED] Unit 008 failed to enter into LEADS, [REDACTED] was served the Short Form notice that an Order of Protection was filed against [REDACTED]

3 - Not Sustained - Conduct Unbecoming Violations/Altercation/Disturbance

Allegation: It is alleged that on 02 April 2019 at unknown time, at 34 [REDACTED] W. 63rd St. 008th District, Sgt. PIWNICKI #1056, Employee No. [REDACTED] conduct was unbecoming by refusing to make a correction to a Short Form after acknowledging he made an error stating to Reporting Party Victim (RPV) [REDACTED] "I fucked up but nothing is going to happen."

4 - Not Sustained - Conduct Unbecoming Violations/Abuse of Authority

Allegation: It is alleged that on an unknown date and time, at 34 [REDACTED] W. 63rd St. 008th District, Sgt. PIWNICKI #1056, Employee No. [REDACTED] conduct was unbecoming when he stated to Reporting Party Victim (RPV) [REDACTED] "The judge might subpoena me, but I will just state I had no knowledge of the second order."

Accused: Unknown Unknown
Rank: Police Officer
Star Number:

RECOMMENDED PENALTY: 600 - NO ACTION TAKEN / NOT SUSTAINED / EXONERATED / UNFOUNDED

Allegations



Administrative Summary Report

Log No: 2019-0003252

1 - Not Sustained - Operation/Personnel Violations/Inadequate/Failure to Provide Service

Allegation:

It is alleged that on 02 April 2019 at 34 [REDACTED] W. 63rd St. 008th District at unknown time (unknown) desk officer refused to assist Reporting Party Victim (RPV) [REDACTED] with filing a complaint against Sgt. PIWNICKI #1056.