



Administrative Summary Report

Log No: 2019-0002148

This ASR provides crucial information to reporting parties, accused members and accused members' supervisors. The information contained herein is intended to display Department member's accountability and foster the relationship with members of the public by ensuring legitimacy and community confidence. The following are definitions of recommended findings:

- Sustained - where it is determined that the allegation is supported by the evidence;
- Not Sustained - where it is determined that there is insufficient evidence to prove the allegations;
- Unfounded - where it is determined by that an allegation is false or not factual; or
- Exonerated - where it is determined that the conduct described in the allegation occurred, but it is lawful and proper.

INCIDENT DESCRIPTION

On Saturday, June 8th, my father and I were stopped by squad car 787 on 63rd and May on Chicago's southside at 11a. Prior to being pulled over, my father and I discussed how erratic the officer had been driving in front of us. He was breaking constantly and swerving while driving. We had no clue as to why we were being pulled over.

The officer Heuer Badge 16901 of Englewood District 007 approached the car after pulling us over badgering us with questions as to why we thought it was acceptable to tailgate him. He essentially said if we did not like the speed there was nothing we could do accompanying video while provide exact verbiage.

According to Illinois law, tailgating is considered following at a distance that is unreasonable and prudent. I am sure the officer's dash cam can show that he slammed on his breaks on a side street, if we were following at an unreasonable distance we would have rear-ended him. The officer claims that his erratic driving was due to being in an unfit vehicle.

Aside from the purpose of being pulled over, the officer returned to the car after checking my father's license and registration to further unduly harass us. My father [REDACTED] has his concealed carry license. The law in Illinois is that those with concealed carry do not have to share that information until asked. The accompanying video will show that upon asking, my father shared his status. At this point he was given a reprimand that he should have done so. The officer goes as far as to my call my father ignorant for being apprehensive for sharing given that statistically, black men have higher rates of incidents with officers than woman and other races 3035 seconds of clip 2.

However, the most frightening portion of the stop is when the office referenced the incident in Minnesota as a warning to us. The officer was referring to [REDACTED] who was killed after informing the officer of his concealed carry status. Why would the officer feel comfortable mentioning an incident that went awry by a citizen attempting to be proactive in revealing their status

I am hoping this officer will receive some diversity and sensitivity training in addition to some form of documented citation based upon his verbiage and unjust cause in pulling us over.

Was it in a School?: No

Was it in a Police Facility?: No

LOCATION OF OCCURRENCE: 11 [REDACTED] W 63RD ST , District 007

DATE & TIME OF INCIDENT: 08 Jun 2019, 11:05

NARRATIVE SUMMARY:

The Investigator gathered available evidence as listed. Based on their respective findings, this log number investigation has been concluded with the recommendations listed. Evidence was evaluated and considered by the investigator to reach their findings.



Administrative Summary Report

Log No: 2019-0002148

- A sworn affidavit was obtained.
- Body Worn Camera video was available and reviewed.
- In Car Camera video available and reviewed.
- Police Observational Device (POD) video was available and reviewed.
- GPS Location history of involved units was analyzed during the investigation.
- Various CPD reports or other documents related to this incident were available and analyzed.
- Witness(es) were identified and interviewed.
- The Complainant or Reporting Party cooperated in the investigation and provided information.
- Other digital evidence not produced by CPD (e.g. private video), was gathered and used during the investigation.
- Other evidence relevant to this particular investigation was used to reach the findings for one or more of the allegations made.

*(Listed above are items for which the investigator has indicated as having been obtained and relevant to the investigation. This list is not inclusive of all items. For item list, please see Placeholder narrative text box below for more information.)

INVESTIGATIVE CONCLUSION:



Accused: MATTHEW HEUER
Rank: POLICE OFFICER
Star Number: 16901

RECOMMENDED PENALTY: 600 - NO ACTION TAKEN / NOT SUSTAINED / EXONERATED / UNFOUNDED

Allegations

1 - Unfounded - Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)/Violation (other than D.U.I.)

Allegation: It is alleged by the Reporting Party, [REDACTED] that the Accused, Police Officer Matthew C. HEUER, Star No. 16901, Employee No. [REDACTED] on 08 June 2019, at approximately 1105 hours, at or near 11 [REDACTED] W 63rd St, Chicago, IL, was driving erratically.

2 - Unfounded - Civil Rights Violation/Improper Stop/Seizure

Allegation: It is alleged by the Reporting Party, [REDACTED] that the Accused, Police Officer Matthew C. HEUER, Star No. 16901, Employee No. [REDACTED] on 08 June 2019, at approximately 1105 hours, at 11 [REDACTED] W 63rd St, Chicago, IL, conducted a traffic stop, in which [REDACTED] was the driver and [REDACTED] the front passenger, without justification.



Administrative Summary Report

Log No: 2019-0002148

3 - Unfounded - Verbal Abuse

Allegation:

It is alleged by the Reporting Party, [REDACTED] that the Accused, Police Officer Matthew C. HEUER, Star No. 16901, Employee No. [REDACTED], on 08 June 2019, at approximately 1105 hours, at 11 [REDACTED] W 63rd St, Chicago, IL, used verbiage that offended [REDACTED]