



## Administrative Summary Report

Log No: 2019-0001082

This ASR provides crucial information to reporting parties, accused members and accused members' supervisors. The information contained herein is intended to display Department member's accountability and foster the relationship with members of the public by ensuring legitimacy and community confidence. The following are definitions of recommended findings:

- Sustained - where it is determined that the allegation is supported by the evidence;
- Not Sustained - where it is determined that there is insufficient evidence to prove the allegations;
- Unfounded - where it is determined by that an allegation is false or not factual; or
- Exonerated - where it is determined that the conduct described in the allegation occurred, but it is lawful and proper.

### INCIDENT DESCRIPTION

R/P alleges the accused refused to allow him to file a complaint against a store security guard who assaulted him.

**LOCATION OF OCCURRENCE:** Business, 30███ W ROOSEVELT RD , District 011

**DATE & TIME OF INCIDENT:** 02 May 2019, 14:50

### NARRATIVE SUMMARY:

The Investigator gathered available evidence as listed. Based on their respective findings, this log number investigation has been concluded with the recommendations listed. Evidence was evaluated and considered by the investigator to reach their findings.

- A sworn affidavit was obtained.
- Body Worn Camera video was available and reviewed
- PCAD records, which document a unit's 911 dispatch history, were identified and reviewed.
- Various CPD reports or other documents related to this incident were available and analyzed.
- Witness(es) were identified and interviewed.
- The Complainant or Reporting Party cooperated in the investigation and provided information.
- The accused party or parties were interviewed.
- Other forensic evidence was collected and analyzed
- Other evidence relevant to this particular investigation was used to reach the findings for one or more of the allegations made.
- The accused member's complimentary and disciplinary history were taken into consideration when determining the appropriate discipline for sustained allegations.

\*(Listed above are items for which the investigator has indicated as having been obtained and relevant to the investigation. This list is not inclusive of all items. For item list, please see Placeholder narrative text box below for more information.)

### INVESTIGATIVE CONCLUSION:

Based on the statements of the involved parties and the gathered evidence in this log investigation, the undersigned finds that the following allegation(s) are SUSTAINED. Based on the SUSTAINED finding(s) and after taking into consideration the accused member's complimentary and disciplinary histories, the undersigned recommends that the following action be taken:

Report executed

4/28/21

ASR

Page 1 of 2



## Administrative Summary Report

Log No: 2019-0001082

**Accused:** EUGENE VANN  
**Rank:** PO/FIELD TRNING OFF  
**Star Number:** 17679

**RECOMMENDED PENALTY:** 000 - VIOLATION NOTED

### Allegations

#### 1 - Sustained - Operation/Personnel Violations/Inadequate/Failure to Provide Service

**Allegation:** The Reporting Party, [REDACTED] alleges that on 02 May 2019 at approximately 1450 hours at the Liquor Store located at 30 [REDACTED] W. Roosevelt Road Chicago IL 60623, Police Officer Eugene Vann #17679 refused to provide police service, in that Officer Vann did not provide the RP with a police report for a battery that just occurred involving the Liquor Store's Security Guard.

**Rule Violation:** 5 - FAILURE TO PERFORM ANY DUTY - R/Sgt finds that PO Vann did not review or attempt to review the video footage of the alleged battery and nor did he complete an Original Case Incident Report, violating rule 5 failure to perform any duty. [1 count(s)]

Report executed 4/28/21

ASR

Page 2 of 2